Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation Environmental Assessment

Operator: Slawson Exploration Company, Inc.
Well Name/Number: Carbine 1-32H
Location: SW SW Section 32 T22N R58E
County: Richland, MT; Field (or Wildcat) Wildcat
Air Quality
(possible concerns)
Long drilling time: No. 25-35 days drilling time.
Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): Triple derrick rig to drill a single lateral horizontal
Bakken Formation test, 14,469'MD/10,324'TVD.
Possible H2S gas production: Possible H2S gas from Mississippian Formations.
In/near Class I air quality area: No Class I air quality area.
Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-2-
<u>211.</u>
Mitigation:
\underline{X} Air quality permit (AQB review)
Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas
Special equipment/procedures requirements
Other:
Comments: Triple derrick drilling rig to drill a single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation test,
14,469'MD/10,324'TVD. If there is an existing pipeline for gas gathering in the area, associated gas can
be gathered or if no gathering system nearby associated gas can be flared under Board Rule 36.22.1220.
Water Quality
(possible concerns)
Salt/oil based mud: Yes to intermediate string hole to be drilled with oil based invert drilling fluids.
Horizontal lateral will be drilled with oil based invert drilling fluids. Surface casing hole will use
freshwater and freshwater mud system.
High water table: No high water table anticipated.
Surface drainage leads to live water: No, closest drainage is an unnamed ephemeral tributary drainage to
Fox Creek, about 1/4 of a mile to the northwest from this location. Fox Creek is about ½ of a mile to the
northwest from this location.
Water well contamination: None, closest water wells are about 3/8 of a mile to the southeast, about 5/8 of
a mile to the east northeast, about 5/8 of a mile to the southwest, about 3/4 of a mile to the southeast and
about 7/8 of a mile to the southeast from this location. Depth of these stock and domestic water wells range
from 220' to 38'. This drilling permit states it will drill surface hole with freshwater to 1550'.
Recommend that 1604' minimum amount of surface hole should be drilled and 1604' of steel surface
casing and cement it to surface.
Porous/permeable soils: No, sandy clay soils.
Class I stream drainage: No, Class I stream drainages in the area of review.
Mitigation:
X Lined reserve pit
X Adequate surface casing
Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage
X_Closed mud system Off site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility)
Off-site disposal of solids/<u>liquids</u> (in approved facility)Other:
Comments: 1550' surface casing is what is anticipated by the operator on the permit. I

recommend that 1604' of surface casing be set in this well which should put the surface casing below

freshwater zones in adjacent water wells and also covering Fox Hills aquifer. Adequate surface casing and operational BOP equipment should prevent any hole pressure problems.

Soils/Vegetation/Land Use

(possible concerns)

Steam crossings: None anticipated.

High erosion potential: No high erosion potential on this location, location needs a small cut, up to 4.4' and a small fill, up to 9.1', required.

Loss of soil productivity: _None, location to be restored after drilling well, if nonproductive. If productive unused portion of drillsite will be reclaimed._

Unusually large wellsite: No, large well site 405'X450' for a dual well location, Carbine 1-32H and Lochaber 1-5H.

Damage to improvements: Slight, surface use is cultivated land.

Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight

Mitigation

- __ Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)
- __ Exception location requested
- X Stockpile topsoil
- __ Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)
- X Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive
- __ Special construction methods to enhance reclamation
- <u>X</u> Other: <u>Requires DEQ General Permit for Storm Water Discharge Associated with Construction Activity, under ARM 17.30.1102(28).</u>

Comments: Access will be over existing county road, #448. Will construct/upgrade about 263' of new access road into this location off the existing county road. Oil based invert drilling fluids will be recycled. Completion fluids will be trucked to a Class II disposal. Operator will utilize a closed mud system.

Cuttings and mud solids will be disposed of in the lined cuttings pit. Cuttings pit will be allowed to dry and then backfilled with subsoil clays. No concerns.

Health Hazards/Noise

(possible concerns)

Proximity to public facilities/residences: <u>Closest residences are about 34 of a mile to the northeast and about 1.125 miles to the southeast from this location.</u> The town of Crane is about 3.6 miles to the southeast from this location. The town of Sidney, Montana is about 9.25 miles to the northeast from this location. Possibility of H2S: <u>Possible H2S gas from Mississippian Formations.</u>

Size of rig/length of drilling time: Triple drilling rig 25 to 35 days drilling time.

Mitigation:

<u>X</u>	Proper	BOP	equipment
----------	--------	-----	-----------

- __ Topographic sound barriers
- __ H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan
- __ Special equipment/procedures requirements

__ Other:____

Comments: <u>Adequate surface casing cemented to surface with working BOP stack should mitigate any problems.</u> (BOP's 5,000psig annular, pipe and blind rams) rule 36.22.1014.

Wildlife/recreation

(possible concerns)

Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): <u>Little Missouri National Grasslands</u>, about 12.5 miles to the east in North Dakota.

Proximity to recreation sites: None identified.

Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No

Threatened or endangered Species: Species identified as threatened or endangered are the Pallid
Sturgeon, Interior Least Tern, Whooping Crane and Piping Plover. Candidate species are the Sprague's
Pipit and the Greater Sage Grouse. NH tracker website indicates four (4) species of concern are the Hoary
Bat, Meadow Jumping Mice, Evening Grosbeak and Whooping Crane.
Mitigation:
Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)
Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)
Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite
Other:
Comments: Private cultivated lands, There may be species of concern that maybe impacted by
this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to what he would like done, if a
species of concern are discovered at this location. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private
surface lands.
Historical/Cultural/Paleontological
(possible concerns) Provimity to known sites: None identified
Proximity to known sites: None identified
Mitigation
avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)
other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)
Other:
Comments: Private cultivated lands. There may be possible historical/cultural/paleontological
sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his
desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. The Board of
Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.
Social/Economic
(possible concerns)
Substantial effect on tax base
Create demand for new governmental services
Population increase or relocation
Comments: No concerns.
Comments: 110 concerns:
Remarks or Special Concerns for this site
•
A single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation well test, 15,216'MD/10,418'TVD.

Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects
No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur.

Conflict with game range/refuge management: No

I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ $\underline{does\ not}$) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ \underline{does})

<u>not</u>) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement. Prepared by (BOGC): /s/ Steven Sasaki (title:) Chief Field Inspector_____ Date: July 5, 2012 Other Persons Contacted: _Bureau of Mines and Geology, GWIC website_____ (Name and Agency) Water wells in Richland County, Montana (subject discussed) July 5, 2012 (date) US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website (Name and Agency) ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA COUNTIES, Richland County (subject discussed) July 5, 2012_ (date) Montana Natural Heritage Program Website (Name and Agency) Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3 T22N R58E (subject discussed) July 5, 2012 ___ (date) If location was inspected before permit approval: Inspection date: _____ Inspector: _____ Others present during inspection:_____